Nanostructured flexible Mg-modified LiMnPO₄ matrix as high-rate cathode materials for Li-ion batteries†
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One-dimensional (1D) electrode materials have attracted much attention recently because of their potential application in flexible battery technology. Many 1D anode materials based on carbon and metal oxides have been synthesized for flexible batteries, however only limited studies on the cathode side have been conducted. Here, we report the synthesis of high-rate cathode electrodes based on Mg-modified LiMnPO₄ nanofibers. The nanofibers are embedded inside a nanostructured conducting carbon matrix to enhance their electronic conductivity and structural integrity while retaining flexibility. As a result, a 50% increase in capacity is obtained, achieving an outstanding performance of 135 mA h g⁻¹ at a C/10 rate (15 mA g⁻¹). This nanostructured Mg-modified LiMnPO₄ matrix also exhibited superior rate capability and much better cycleability compared to its LiMnPO₄ counterpart. Even at a high charge/discharge rate of SC (750 mA g⁻¹), 80% of the capacity (107 mA h g⁻¹) is still retained, representing, to the best of our knowledge, the best rate performance for LiMnPO₄-based electrodes. More importantly, such a superior rate capability is achieved with an excellent cycleability (no capacity fading for 200 deep cycles).

Electronic systems that can cover large areas on flexible substrates have received increasing attention in the past few years, because they enable classes of applications that lie outside those easily-addressed with wafer-based electronics.¹–³ As the critical component for flexible electronics, a flexible energy storage device is crucial because all the functionalities onboard rely on the power supply. The performance of the current generation is greatly limited by the electrode materials, and many efforts have been devoted to development of high performance flexible batteries.⁴–⁹ Recently, compliant materials on curvilinear surfaces, such as carbon nanotubes,¹⁰ carbon nanofibers,¹¹ graphene,¹² metal oxide-based nanowires,¹³ and slurry-type mixtures of nanostructured active materials,¹⁴ have been explored as flexible battery electrodes. However, these studies have mainly focused on anode electrode materials, and there has been very limited progress on cathode materials for flexible batteries. It is critical to explore cathode electrode materials with the potential to couple with the developed flexible anodes for device applications. Electrode materials with one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures are the most important candidates in this scenario because of the mechanical flexibility they usually provide.

LiMPO₄ (M = Fe and Mn) materials are of great interest as promising cathode materials in lithium-ion batteries due to their low cost and good stability.¹⁵–³¹ However, the rate capability of LiMPO₄ is greatly limited by its intrinsically low electrical and ionic conductivities.²⁴–²⁸ For LiFePO₄, the obstacles were overcome by reducing the size of LiFePO₄ particles to nanoscale and introducing a conductive surface coating such as amorphous carbon. These efforts led to the commercialization of LiFePO₄ as a cathode material.²⁹–³¹ Compared with LiFePO₄, LiMnPO₄ possesses a higher lithium ion intercalation potential of 4.1 V versus Li/Li⁺ (3.5 V for LiFePO₄), providing about 20% higher energy density than that of LiFePO₄.²⁵,³³ More importantly, the 4.1 V working potential is compatible with most of the liquid electrolytes that are currently in use, while the working potentials for LiCoPO₄ and LiNiPO₄ (4.8 V and 5.1 V, respectively) are too high for safe operation. Nevertheless, the electronic conductivity of LiMnPO₄ is many orders smaller in magnitude than that of LiFePO₄, which is already insulating, making it challenging to achieve high rate performance for LiMnPO₄ using methods developed for LiFePO₄.³⁴ As an additional challenge, the large volumetric change between LiMnPO₄ and delithiated MnPO₄ during charge/discharge inhibits a smooth lithium ion transport, which results in both lower capacity and limited rate capability.³²,³⁵
In the current work, we report a successful preparation of a nanostructured conducting carbon matrix infiltrated with Mg-modified LiMnPO₄ as composite cathode electrodes for lithium-ion battery applications. The homogeneous conducting carbon matrix achieved provides efficient electron transport channels, while the nanoscaled size, extended and continuous network facilitates ionic transportation and eliminates the occurrence of intergranular voids. A schematic diagram to represent the design concept is presented in Fig. 1. By introducing a small amount of Mg substitution, the volume change across the two-phase interface is effectively reduced. A high capacity of 135 mA h g⁻¹ (at C/10 rate), a high capacity retention (80% at 5C), and excellent cycle performance are achieved simultaneously.

An electrospinning technique followed by a calcination process in inert atmosphere was developed for material synthesis. The morphology of the obtained LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ materials are visible in the microscopic images shown in Fig. 2 and S1. The as-prepared materials were composed of as-spun fibers (Fig. 2a and S1a†) that were long and continuous, with a uniform diameter of approximately 100 nm. The post-calcination process produced the desired C/LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and C/LiMnPO₄ composite material network (Fig. 2b and S1b†), accompanied by a slight shrinkage of diameter of the network ligament (Fig. 2c and S1c†). The inert atmosphere during calcination ensured the formation of a homogeneous carbon matrix, infiltrated by the phosphate materials with intrinsically low electrical conductivity. The carbon layer coating was uniform with approximate thickness of 2–4 nm of which the characteristic images are shown in Fig. 2d and S1d†. This is believed to be able to enhance the conductivity of the composite while being thin enough to not interfere with the transport of lithium ions.⁶⁻¹¹

Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) characterizations were performed on both LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ materials (Fig. 3). As shown in Fig. 3b, no significant changes in the near edge fine structures of Mn were observed for LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ compared with MnO, except for instrumental broadening. This indicates that LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ shares a same Mn bonding environment as that in LiMnPO₄, and is not significantly deviated from that of Mn²⁺ octahedra in MnO.⁶⁻¹¹ Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis on LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ further indicates a successful doping of Mg is achieved because Mg is uniformly distributed throughout the same region as the other elements, P and Mn (Fig. 4).

The crystalline structures of both LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ materials were studies with powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Fig. 5). The Mg-doped sample showed a very similar profile to that of the un-doped sample except for a peak shift towards higher diffraction angles, indicating the desired smaller unit cell volume. The (311) peaks shown with higher magnification in the inset of Fig. 5 clearly illustrate this feature. Every peak in both profiles could be indexed into an orthorhombic structure, space group Pnma. No impurity was observed. Refinement of the two PXRD patterns was conducted using the Rietveld approach implemented in the software package PDFXL (Rigaku). The experimental and the calculated data agree with each other well. The lattice parameters obtained from the refined pattern are shown in Table 1. It clearly shows that the substitution of a small portion of Mg ions results in a successful reduction of the unit cell volume from 304.02 Å³ for LiMnPO₄ to 302.52 Å³ for LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄, which is due to the smaller size of Mg²⁺ (0.86 Å) compared to Mn²⁺ (0.98 Å). Additionally, the Mg²⁺ size is larger than that of Mn⁴⁺ (0.785 Å) in the delithiated phase, and therefore a reduction of volume contraction during lithiation/delithiation is believed to be achieved.⁶⁻¹¹

The electrochemical performance of both electrode materials was evaluated between 2.5 and 4.5 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at various C rates (1C = 150 mA h g⁻¹). During the charge cycles, cells were trickle charged at the upper voltage limit until the current rate reached C/20. Fig. 6a shows the typical voltage profiles for both LiMnPO₄ and LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄. While their profiles were similar in shape, the capacity performance was significantly
different. At a discharge rate of C/10, LiMnPO₄ achieved a capacity of less than 90 mA h g⁻¹. However, with only 5% substitution of Mg, LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ achieved a dramatically improved capacity of approximately 135 mA h g⁻¹, which amounts to a greater than 50% enhancement.

As proposed, the increase of capacity of LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ can be attributed to the enhanced electrochemical kinetics due to the reduced volume and reduced structural mismatch across the lithium ion extraction/insertion interface. While the slightly higher discharge potential of LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ (Fig. 6a) might have suggested an improved polarization, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a more appropriate technique to explore the dynamics at the interface. As shown in Fig. 6b, EIS plots of the LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ cells at open circuit potentials were recorded after 10 cycles at C/10 rate. It is clear that the semicircle of LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ cells is significantly smaller than that of LiMnPO₄, indicating a much smaller charge transfer resistance which is responsible for the great improvement of the electrochemical kinetics of lithium ion extraction/insertion.

The voltage profiles of LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ at various charge/discharge rates between C/10 and 6C are presented in Fig. 6c. The un-doped sample showed very similar profiles except for shorter discharge plateaus. Their accordingly calculated cycle capacities are given in Fig. 7. It is clear that LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄
cells possessed much higher reversible capacity than that of LiMnPO₄ cells at all discharge rates. For LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄, the initial discharge capacity at C/10 is approximately 141.1 mA h g⁻¹ and it averages about 135 mA h g⁻¹ in the first 10 cycles. For the pure LiMnPO₄, the initial value is only 92.1 mA h g⁻¹ and averages about 88 mA h g⁻¹ in the first 10 cycles. Even at a high discharge rate of 5C, a discharge capacity of 107 mA h g⁻¹ is still retained for LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄; for the pure LiMnPO₄, the value is only 71 mA h g⁻¹. The results show a successful achievement of more than 50% capacity increase throughout all charge/discharge rates with only 5% Mg substitution. Benefited by the nanostructured conducting carbon matrix, excellent rate performance and ultra-stable cycle performance were simultaneously achieved with both electrode materials. For a 50 times increase of charge/discharge rate from C/10 to 5C, the capacity retention remains as high as 80%. No capacity fade was observed in a 200 cycle test as shown in Fig. 7. The overall performance represents, to the best of our knowledge, the state-of-the-art for LiMnPO₄-based electrodes.

**Experimental section**

**Synthesis of carbon matrix encapsulated LiMg₀.₀₅Mn₀.₉₅PO₄ and LiMnPO₄ nanofiber network**

To prepare the sol for electrospinning, 0.7795 g LiH₂PO₄ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), 1.8826 g Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, 97.0%), and Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) for achieving desired Mg–Mn ratio were thoroughly mixed in 60 mL de-ionized water using a magnetic stirrer. Poly(ethylene oxide) (1.3 g, Sigma-Aldrich, Mᵥ = 600 000) was slowly added to the solution with a stirring speed of 700 rpm. After a homogeneous phase was achieved, 60 µL HNO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 70%) was added to the sol to prevent precipitation. The resulting precursor was transferred into a syringe connected to a stainless steel pipetting needle (Cadence Science, 22/1-1/2”). A potential of 14 kV (Gamma High Voltage Research) was applied on the needle relative to a grounded rotating drum collector positioned about 10 cm from the tip of the needle. The flow rate of the precursor sol was controlled using a syringe pump (Cole-Parmer) at 0.35 mL h⁻¹. The collected as-prepared fibers were
sandwiched between two silicon wafer substrate, stabilized in a tube furnace at 200 °C for 1 h under H2 (5 vol%)/Ar (95 vol%) atmosphere, and further calcined at 600 °C for 2 h to obtain the carbon matrix infiltrated with LiMg0.05Mn0.95PO4 or LiMnPO4 materials (heating rate 2 °C min⁻¹, cooling rate 5 °C min⁻¹).

Structural characterizations

Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were collected using a Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. SEM studies were performed with a JEOL JSM-6330F. TEM studies and HRTEM studies were performed with a JEOL JEM-2010F using an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. EELS studies were performed with 200 kV field-emission scanning/transmission electron microscope (S/TEM) (Tecnai F20-UT) equipped with Gatan Tridiem electron energy loss spectrometer.

Electrochemical characterizations

The electrochemical performances were evaluated using two-electrode button-type half-cells (CR2032, MTI). The cathode electrodes were prepared by mixing the functional materials with carbon black (Super P, Alfa Aesar) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder (Sigma-Aldrich) with a weight ratio of 75 : 20 : 5 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent (Sigma-Aldrich); the mixture was coated on an Al foil with a doctor-blade technique, dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h, and punched into circular discs 1.5 cm in diameter. Lithium metal (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the anode electrode. 1.0 M LiPF6 in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate/diethyl carbonate (EC/DMC/DEC) (1 : 1 : 1 by volume, MTI Corporation) was used as electrolyte, and polypropylene membranes were used as separators. The complete cells were assembled in a glove box filled with ultrahigh-purity argon. The electrochemical performance of the carbon encapsulated LiMg0.05Mn0.95PO4 and LiMnPO4 nanofibers was evaluated with a MACCOR testing system (MACCOR Series 4000) at room temperature. The electrochemical capacity of the samples was calculated based on the total weight of active material and the typical loading density is approximately 5 mg cm⁻². The carbon content of the samples was estimated to be about 2 wt% using a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA). EIS was carried out using a potentiostat/galvanostat (EG&G Parstat 2273) at open circuit conditions in a frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with an AC signal of 5 mV. All electrochemical measurements were conducted in more than three parallel cells to ensure data reproducibility.
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