On-Column 2p Bound State with Topological Charge ± 1 Excited by an Atomic-Size Vortex Beam in an Aberration-Corrected Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope
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Abstract: Atomic-size vortex beams have great potential in probing the magnetic moment of materials at atomic scales. However, the limited depth of field of vortex beams constrains the probing depth in which the helical phase front is preserved. On the other hand, electron channeling in crystals can counteract beam divergence and extend the vortex beam without disrupting its topological charge. Specifically, in this article, we report that atomic vortex beams with topological charge ±1 can be coupled to the 2p columnar bound states and propagate for more than 50 nm without being dispersed and losing its helical phase front. We give numerical solutions to the 2p columnar orbitals and tabulate the characteristic size of the 2p states of two typical elements, Co and Dy, for various incident beam energies and various atomic densities. The tabulated numbers allow estimates of the optimal convergence angle for maximal coupling to 2p columnar orbital. We have also developed analytic formulae for beam energy, convergence angle, and hologram-dependent scaling for various characteristic sizes. These length scales are useful for the design of pitch-fork apertures and operations of microscopes in the vortex-beam imaging mode.
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INTRODUCTION

Recently, the ability to create an electron beam that carries specified orbital angular momentum (Uchida & Tonomura, 2010; Verbeeck et al., 2010, 2011b; Bliokh et al., 2011; Herr- ring, 2011; Idrobo & Pennycook, 2011; McMorrnan et al., 2011a, 2011b; Schattschneider & Verbeeck, 2011) has generated immense interest for its potential to measure the magnetic moment of 3d and rare earth elements at atomic scales (Schattschneider, 2008; Xin & Muller, 2010a; Schattschneider et al., 2012). Mathematically, the complex wave function that contains an orbital angular momentum of \( \hbar \) can be written as \( \Psi = e^{i\varphi}f(r) \), where \( \varphi \) is the azimuthal angle, \( r \) is the radial coordinate, and \( i \) is the topological charge. Vortex beams are defined as such beams with nonzero topological charges and with the wave amplitude going to zeros at \( r = 0 \) giving a phase singularity in the center (Nye & Berry, 1974; Bazhenov et al., 1990; Allen et al., 1992). Optical vortex beams have been studied intensively (Heckenberg et al., 1992; Beijersbergen et al., 1994; Basistiy et al., 1995; He et al., 1995; Brand, 1999; O’Neil et al., 2002; Padgett et al., 2004) and have found numerous applications in a wide range of fields such as optical tweezeing (Curtis et al., 2002; Grier, 2003) and quantum optics (Kapale & Dowling, 2005). In a similar manner to optical vortex beams, in an electron microscope, high-energy electrons that carry orbital angular momentums can be generated either using a helical phase plate (Uchida & Tonomura, 2010) or a computer-generated hologram (Verbeeck et al., 2010; McMorrnan et al., 2011b). The electron vortex beam has become more promising, as shown theoretically by Xin and Muller (Xin & Muller, 2010a) and later by Schattschneider, Verbeeck and their coworkers experimentally (Verbeeck et al., 2011a; Schattschneider et al., 2012), subnanometer or even atomic-size beams with topological charge ±1 can be formed if the probe forming aperture at the prespecimen focal plane is replaced by a pitchfork hologram aperture. The simplicity to create micron-scale features on metal foils using current lithography and focused ion beam technology, this concept can be realized in most modern instruments. Optical and electron vortex beams are in many ways similar both in their wave nature and their generation optics (Bliokh et al., 2007). However, there are fundamental differences between them (Henderson, 1995). Apart from absorption, light and X rays weakly interact with solids allowing the kinematic theory of light propagation to hold. Therefore, not much attention has been paid to study how multiple elastic scattering can modify the chirality of a vortex beam in the optical and X-ray community. Electrons, however, are strongly elastically scattered in materials. The strong elastic interaction with solids, also known as channeling in on-axis crystals (Kirkland et al., 1987; Loane et al., 1988; Hillyard et al., 1993; Hillyard & Silcox, 1993), immediately raises alert that the desired chirality of the vortex beam may vanish or change even in very thin specimens. Thus, this requires scrutiny of vortex beam propagation in crystals. In this work we show that an electron vortex beam with a carefully chosen convergence angle can efficiently couple to...
the on-column $2p$ state ($l = 1$) that carries the same topological charge. The helical phase front of the incident vortex beam can be preserved while channeling on column. On the other hand, when propagating in vacuum, the helical phase is only preserved while the beam is within the depth of field.

The propagation of high-energy electrons in an ideal crystal is described by Bethe’s Bloch-wave dynamical theory (Bethe, 1928; Hirsch et al., 1965; Allen et al., 2003). To solve the problem rigorously, all the reflections in the reciprocal lattice need to be considered. However, the Ewald sphere of high-energy electrons is relatively flat. Therefore, including only the reflections in the First Order Laue Zone in the calculation is a proper first order approximation (Pennycook & Jesson, 1990; Nellist & Pennycook, 1999; Geuens & Van Dyck, 2002). Translating this into real space language, it means an on-crystal can be approximated by a two-dimensional (2D) array of rods. Each rod is described by an average attractive potential, as screened by the core and valence electrons. Each rod of screened charges can support cylindrical bound states on them in a similar manner to the atomic orbitals around atoms (Geuens & Van Dyck, 2002; Anstis et al., 2003; Van Aert et al., 2007). A tight-binding calculation can recover the dispersion relations (Hovden et al., 2010). However, if the overlap of states from neighbor columns are sufficiently small, as are the deep core orbitals of atoms in solids, the dispersion surface is nearly flat and columnar states can be considered to be isolated or well-defined (Pennycook & Jesson, 1990; Van Dyck & deBeeck, 1996; Anstis et al., 2003). These well-defined columnar states carrying their specified orbital angular momentum (or topological charge) can be selectively excited by an incident beam that has the same angular momentum. For example, an ideal convergent beam when positioned on-column can only couple to the $s$ states for its cylindrical symmetry. However, with the creation of on-column atomic-size vortex beams, columnar states with nonzero topological charges can be excited selectively. This selectivity allows the chirality of the incident vortex beam to be preserved on-column. As the $2p$ state has zero amplitude at $r = 0$, it is a natural vortex state that is supported in a crystal. Therefore, it does not only preserve the topological charge but also preserves the vortex nature. The crystal columns serve as a depth-of-focus extender that counteracts the divergence nature of a convergent beam.

To provide a full picture of atomic-size vortex beam and its elastic interaction with a crystal, the remainder of the article is organized as follows. First, we will review how an atomic-size vortex beam can be created in an electron microscope and give the scalings of various characteristic dimensions of vortex beams as a function of the divergence angle. Second, we will review the scattering theory and lay down the radial Schrodinger equation needed for solving the columnar states. Third, we will show how the vortex beam can be coupled to the $2p$ state and channel on the column without losing its vortex nature.

**Atomic-Size Vortex Beam and Its Free Propagation**

It has been shown that the Fourier transform of a pitchfork aperture can produce vortex beams with topological charge $±1$ in the first side bands of the central beam (Bazhenov et al., 1990; Allen et al., 1992). In a transmission electron microscope (Verbeeck et al., 2010; McMorran et al., 2011b), this has been experimentally verified by diffracting off the aperture in the image plane. However, as shown by Idrobo and Pennycook (2011), it is impractical to create subnanometer vortex beams using this approach. However, the Fourier transform can be carried out in reverse. By placing the pitchfork aperture in the back focal plane of the probe forming lens, atomic-size vortex beams are formed at the front focal plane (Fig. 1a) (Xin & Muller, 2010a). Figure 1b shows an example of the amplitude and phase of the central convergent beam and the first two side bands. As clearly indicated by the phase map shown in Figure 1b, the two side beams have a helical phase front: the phase increases by $2\pi$ as it goes around the vortex core.

Figure 2 shows the peak radius ($R_{peak}$), the Rayleigh radius ($R_{rayleigh}$), and the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the vortex beam with a topological charge of one as a function of the convergence angle.$^a$ (Here following the Rayleigh criterion, the Rayleigh radius is defined as the distance from the center to the first minimum of the side lobe.) These are various dimensions defined to characterize the size of the vortex beam. The same as the scaling for any convergent beam, the dimension of the beam is proportional to the wavelength of the incident electron and is inversely proportional to the convergent angle. The prefactor differs in different measurements of the beam size. For example, the prefactor for the Rayleigh radius is 0.91. It is 50% larger than the more familiar factor—0.61—for a convergent beam formed by a round aperture.

The phase around the vortex core is perfectly helical when the beam is at its optimal defocus. However, due to the divergence nature of a convergent beam, the helical phase front can be destroyed. To illustrate this effect, Figure 3 shows the propagation of the wave front away from the perfect defocus plane. We find that first the amplitude part of the vortex beam diverges in size as the beam propagates. Second, the phase part of the vortex beam becomes a spiral pattern. Third, the interferences from the central beam can strongly modify the side bands as the beam propagates further. At 20 nm, we can see that the helical nature of the side beam is completely destroyed by the interferences coming from the divergent central beam. The depth at which such strong interference occurs can be estimated by $(N/2)(\lambda/\sigma_{max})$, where the $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident electron, $\sigma_{max}$ is the convergence semiangle, and $N$ is the number of “white” streaks in the pitchfork.

---

$^a$In the remaining text, convergence angle is the same as convergence semiangle unless otherwise specified.
One would notice this is \( N/4 \) times the depth of focus \( 2\lambda/\alpha_{\text{max}}^2 \) of a convergent electron beam \( \text{(Intarapasonk et al., 2008; Xin & Muller, 2009, 2010b).} \) Therefore, given a chosen thickness and the convergence angle, the number of fringes needed in the aperture to ensure that the vortex side bands are not strongly influenced by the central beam can be calculated by \( N = d/(\lambda/\alpha_{\text{max}}^2) \). \( (d) \) is the thickness. We assume the beam is focused at the center of the sample in depth.) The results for four typical beam voltages are tabulated in Figure 4. It provides a guideline for designing pitchfork apertures.

**Propagation in Crystals**

In the last section, we have studied the propagation of a vortex beam in vacuum. It was shown that the vortex beam diverges as it propagates away from the optimal focal plane.

However, this phenomenon can be significantly modified by electron channeling. In this section, we will first develop the theory for solving the columnar bound states on atomic columns that are responsible for electron channeling. Then we will show that coupling to the \( 2p \) bound state helps prevent the vortex beam from diverging as it propagates on-column.

**The Scalar Relativistic-Corrected Schrodinger Equation**

The speed of a 300 keV electron is 0.78 times the speed of light. Strictly speaking, the elastic scattering of such electrons should be solved with the Dirac equation. However, it has been shown by Ruijwara, Hashimoto and more recently by Rother and Scheerschmidt that a scalar-relativistic-corrected Schrodinger equation is accurate enough for 300 keV electrons \( (\text{Fujiwara, 1961; Hashimoto, 1964; Rother &}) \).
Figure 3. The free propagation of the vortex beams (300 keV, $a_{\text{max}} = 20$ mrad) in vacuum. The amplitude and phase of the wave function at (a) 0 nm, (b) 5 nm, (c) 10 nm, (d) 15 nm, and (e) 20 nm away from the optimal focal plane. (f) The schematics of the free propagation of vortex beams.

Figure 4. The least number of fringes required to minimize interference from the central beam as the vortex beam propagates. The plots show the calculations for (a) 60 keV, (b) 100 keV, (c) 200 keV, and (d) 300 keV.
Scheerschmidt, 2009). In addition, for high-energy electrons, the exchange and correlation with the electrons in the solids can be neglected (Wu & Ohmura, 1962; Mott & Massey, 1965). Therefore, the elastic scattering of an electron can be described by a Schrödinger equation for the atomic potential (the Coulomb potential of the nuclei as screened by the innershell and valence electrons) (Cowley & Moodie, 1957; Fujiwara, 1961; Humphreys, 1979; Gratias & Portier, 1983):

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - eV(r) \right] \Psi(r) = E\Psi(r),
\]

(1)

where \( m = \gamma m_0 \) is the relativistic mass, \(-e\) is the charge of an electron, \( V \) is the screened atomic potential inside the sample, and \( E \) is the incident kinetic energy of the electron.

To solve forward propagation of high-energy electrons in real space governed by Equation (1), we need to make two approximations. The first one is to assume the wave function \( \Psi(x, y, z) \) can be written as a product of two factors—one fast varying term along \( z \), \( \exp(2\pi i z/\lambda) \), and one slowly varying envelope term \( \psi(x, y, z) \) (Cowley & Moodie, 1957; Van Dyck & Coene, 1984; Kirkland, 2010):

\[
\Psi(x, y, z) = \psi(x, y, z) \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i z}{\lambda} \right),
\]

(2)

where \( \lambda \) is the relativistic wavelength of the high-energy electron (\( \lambda = h/\sqrt{2\gamma m_0 E} \)). The second approximation is the forward scattering approximation (Van Dyck & Coene, 1984; Kirkland, 2010):

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \ll 1 \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right|.
\]

(3)

The two approximations are valid because the electrons are traveling at very high speed and the deflection by a single atomic potential is relatively weak. Based on the two approximations, if we substitute equation (2) into equation (1) and drop the \( \partial^2 \psi/\partial z^2 \) term, we have:

\[
\frac{\hbar}{m_0} \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, z)}{\partial z} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2_{xy} - eV(x, y, z) \right] \psi(x, y, z).
\]

(4)

The propagation of high-energy electrons governed by equation (4) can be approximately solved by the multislice method (Gratias & Portier, 1983; Van Dyck & Coene, 1984; Kirkland et al., 1987; Chen & Van Dyck, 1997).

Apart from the fact that a column of atoms is \( z \) dependent, a few bound states are supported on the column (Pennycook & Jesson, 1990; Nellist & Pennycook, 1999; Anstis et al., 2003). It is a valid approximation to expand the atomic column into a Fourier series and keep only the \( z \)-independent zero-order term, i.e., the average potential of the atomic column \( V(x, y) = v_{\text{m}}(x, y)/d \). This is the same as the approximation of keeping only the zero-order Laue zone in the Bloch wave simulation (Berry & Ozoriode, 1973). The scattering to the first, second, and higher Laue zones can be added later using time-dependent perturbations (Gratias & Portier, 1983).

The \( z \)-independent potential converts equation (4) into a 2D time-independent problem (Berry & Ozoriode, 1973):

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2_{xy} - eV(x, y) \right] \psi_l(x, y) = E_l \psi_l(x, y).
\]

(5)

If the incident wave function at the entrance surface is \( \Psi(x, y) \), then the depth evolution of the wave function is

\[
\psi(x, y, z) = \sum C_l \psi_l(x, y) \exp \left( -\frac{i m\lambda}{\hbar} E_l z \right).
\]

(6)

C_l = \int \Psi(x, y) \psi_l(x, y) \, dx \, dy.

(7)

In equation (7), \( C_l \) is the coupling coefficient of the incident probe (\( \Psi(x, y) \)) to a particular columnar orbital \( \psi_l(x, y) \). When the incident probe \( \Psi(x, y) \) is cylindrically symmetric, as is in a regular scanning transmisson electron microscope, and positioned on the column, the coupling coefficient is zero for any columnar states with nonzero angular momentum such as \( 2p \). Now, we can transfer our knowledge of solving bound orbitals of three-dimensional (3D) atoms (Cowan, 1981; Koonin & Meredith, 1998) to the problem of solving a 2D projected screened “atom.”

**The Radial Equation**

First, for computational convenience, we use the Ry-Bohr atomic units:

\[
Ry = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0 a_0^2} = 13.607 \text{ eV}
\]

\[
a_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_0 e^2} = 0.529 \text{ Å}.
\]

Then equation (5) for a single column in cylindrical coordinates reads:

\[
\nabla^2 \psi(r, \theta) + \gamma [E + V(r)] \psi(r, \theta) = 0,
\]

(8)

where \( \gamma \) is the relativistic gamma. \( V(r) \) is the potential energy of the electron in Ry and it is positive at finite distances. Because for a single column \( V \) is cylindrically symmetric, we can perform separation of variables that has the following form:

\[
\psi_{nl}(r, \theta) = c_l u_{nl}(r) \exp(i l \theta)
\]

\[
= c_l R_{nl}(r) r^{l/2} \exp(i l \theta),
\]

(9)

where \( l \) is the angular quantum number or the topological charge and it can be any integer from \( -\infty \) to \( +\infty \). The radial wave equation for \( R_{nl}(r) \) is

\[
\frac{d^2 R_{nl}(r)}{dr^2} + \left[ \gamma E + \gamma V(r) - \frac{l^2 - 1}{4} \right] R_{nl}(r) = 0.
\]

(10)

Except for the relativistic correction (Berry & Ozoriode, 1973) showed the same equation.

**2p Columnar Orbital**

For \( p \)-type columnar orbitals that carry topological charge one (\( l = 1 \)), equation (10) becomes
It is easy to obtain the asymptotic forms of $R_n(r)$:

$$R_{n1}(r) = c \exp\left[-\sqrt{\gamma E_n(r)}\right] \quad \text{as } r \to \infty$$

$$R_{n0}(r) = cr^{3/2} \quad \text{as } r \to 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

As $u_{n1}(r) = cr \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, the amplitude of the $p$ columnar orbitals is zero in the center of the orbital. Therefore, they are on-column vortex beams hosted by the crystal with topological charge one.

As we know the initial conditions from the asymptotic forms, the radial function $R_{n1}$ can be calculated by numerical integration. A very primitive method in solving such an eigenenergy problem is the shooting method: the eigenstates can be identified when the radial function does not blow up at large distances. An improved method is to both integrate forward from $r = 0$ and integrate backward from $r = \infty$. The eigenstate can be identified when the first derivative at the connection point is continuous. The numerical integration of this second-order differential equation can be performed by a Runge-Kutta method. However, similar to solving 3D atomic orbitals, the more efficient Numerov method can be applied (Cowan, 1981; Koonin & Meredith, 1998).

Figure 5 shows the numerical solutions for two typical columns that can be found in 3d transition metals and in perovskite materials. Take cobalt for example, the 2p columnar state is plotted in Figure 5b. It is clearly a vortex beam with topological charge +1. The FWHM is only 1.22 Å. In the lateral dimension, the nearest column is positioned 2.2 Å away. This gives an overlap integral smaller than 1%, which means the 2p columnar orbital can be considered isolated without interference from the neighbors. If you compare the 2p orbital on the Co column with the 300 kV vortex beam created by an aberration-corrected electron microscopy, the size matches well. This means that for the on-column 2p orbital of a given material and a given zone axis, the convergence angle can be optimized such that the size of the vortex beam matches that of the 2p columnar orbital to maximize excitation. Figure 6 gives such guide-
lines for two typical elements in 3d and rare earth. One can look up the FWHM of the 2p orbitals in this plot and use the equation in Figure 2 to estimate the optimal convergence angle for maximal coupling.

**On-Column Channeling of Vortex Beams**

Figure 7 shows the propagation of the central beam with the vortex side bands with a column of cobalt placed in the core of the left vortex. In Figures 7a and 7b, we can see the left vortex channels along the column whereas the central and right beams diverge as they propagate. The 2p state propagates along the column and the topological charge is preserved even at a thickness of 50 nm as shown in the phase map.

2p columnar orbitals can also be excited when a round beam is placed off the column. However, compared to the excitation of 1s, only a minimal fraction is coupled to the p-type bound states due to the phase symmetry. We want to note that such strong and selective excitation of 2p columnar orbitals is only possible with an incident beam that possesses the same orbital angular momentum.

**DISCUSSIONS**

Even though we focused the discussion on 2p columnar states, the vortex beam of topological charge one can excite higher p states. However, the isolated column model for higher p states does not hold as well as it does for 2p orbitals.
because they are more delocalized. Even for 2p columnar orbital, the isolated model can break down when the crystal is oriented to low-symmetry zone axis or light-element crystals are used. To apply the single column model, one needs to calculate the FWHM of the p orbital and make sure that it is smaller than the smallest column-to-column spacing in the lateral dimension. Nevertheless, as we have shown in Figure 5, in two typical materials made of 3d and rare earth elements oriented along high-symmetry zone axis, the high atomic density along the column attracts the 2p columnar orbital tight enough such that the overlap with the neighbor orbitals is ignorable. In general, high-symmetry zone axis is preferred as the lateral column-to-column spacing is high and the interatomic distance along the column is low.

As shown by McMorran et al. (2011b), electron vortex beams with high quanta of orbital angular momentum can be intensively generated using holograms of high-quanta helical phase fronts. This makes it possible to selectively excite columnar orbitals with absolute topological charge larger than one. However, one has to check if such orbitals are supported on the columns and if the isolated column approximation is valid.

In this article, we assume the optics are aberration free. This assumption is approximately correct in an aberration-corrected instrument with moderately chosen numerical aperture. However, in an uncorrected microscope, a modeling with the third-order spherical aberration is needed. In addition to the geometric aberrations, chromatic aberration can cause defocus blur to the beams. This effect can be important in instruments with large Cs and large energy spread (Intaraprasonk et al., 2008).

CONCLUSION

In this article, we first reviewed the formation of an atomic vortex beam in an aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope. We presented the theoretical scalings for various characteristic sizes, including peak-intensity radius, the FWHM, and the Rayleigh radius, of the vortex beam with topological charge one. We also showed that the vortex side band diverges as well as the central beam as they travel without strong interferences from the central beam. Then we reviewed the theory for calculating the bound states on single atomic columns. We gave numerical solutions to the 2p columnar orbitals and we tabulated the FWHM of the 2p states of two typical elements, one 3d transition and one rare earth, of various incident beam energies and various interatomic distances along the column. The tabulated numbers can help to estimate the optimal convergence angle for maximal coupling to 2p. Finally, we showed the vortex beam can channel on atomic columns and preserve the topological charge of the incident beam by coupling to the 2p columnar orbital with the same angular momentum. This shows that the vortex nature of a vortex beam can be extended and the beam divergence can be counteracted by an atomic column.
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